POLS 7010: Research Methods in Political Science  
Fall 2017

Dr. Shane P. Singh  
Office: 303 Candler Hall  
Office Hours: Wednesdays, 2:00-4:00PM  
Email: singh@uga.edu  
Phone: (706) 542-8422  
Course Webpage: http://www.shanepsingh.com/teaching.html

Class Meeting Time: Tuesdays, 3:30-6:15PM

Class Location: 102 Baldwin Hall

Goal of the Course: The goal of this course is to help you understand how we study politics and to provide guidance on conducting original political science research. The course will provide you with a general understanding of what science is and a foundation in the logic and practice of systematic political inquiry. In addition to discussing general questions about the philosophy of science, we will cover fundamental issues such as arriving at a research question, theory building, hypothesis development, variable measurement, identifying and dealing with confounding factors, and causality. The topics covered in this course are crucial to any research project, but they will not provide you with all the tools needed to conduct your own research. The other classes in the research methods sequence are thus an essential companion to this course. Having a solid understanding the issues discussed in this course is necessary (but not sufficient) for writing original research.

Required Readings:


Several journal articles, all of which are available online for free

Student Responsibilities and Grade Breakdown:

Readings: Students are required to do the readings for each class period ahead of time. Each week, students should write a short memo summarizing the readings for each class ahead of time. He or she should then refer to the memo during discussion.
Participation: As this is a graduate seminar, your participation is crucial and will count for 20% of your grade. Your involvement toward the end of the semester, when we will discuss the research designs of students in the class, is particularly important.

Research Design and Presentation: The primary assignment of the course is a research design, which includes a research question, theory and literature review, hypotheses, variable operationalization and measurement, dependent and independent variables, and what your expected findings would be if you were to actually conduct the research. You will present your design toward the end of the semester. Each presenter will be assigned a discussant, who will be responsible for constructively critiquing the research design. Papers should be made available to the discussant ahead of time. Your role as a discussant will count towards your participation grade. Presenters may wish to incorporate the suggestions of the discussant and the rest of the audience into their final research design. The research design will count for 45% of your grade. Note that a one-page summary of your research design is due in class midway through the semester.

Exam: There will be one exam during the middle of the semester, which will count for 35% of your grade.

Grade Scale:

- >=93%: A
- 90-92.99%: A-
- 87-89.99%: B+
- 83-86.99%: B
- 80-82.99%: B-
- 77-79.99%: C+
- 73-76.99%: C
- 70-72.99%: C-
- 60-69.99%: D
- <60%: F

Late/Missed Assignments: Missed assignments will result in a zero without a university-approved medical excuse or family emergency. Students will be penalized for late assignments; 20% of the grade for each day late without a university-approved medical excuse or family emergency. Make-up exams can be arranged with the instructor with a university-approved medical excuse or family emergency.

As a University of Georgia student, you have agreed to abide by the University’s academic honesty policy, “A Culture of Honesty,” and the Student Honor Code. All academic work must meet the standards described in “A Culture of Honesty” found at: www.uga.edu/honesty. Lack of knowledge of the academic honesty policy is not a reasonable explanation for a violation. Questions related to course assignments and the academic honesty policy should be directed to the instructor.
Readings and Course Schedule: The course syllabus is a general plan for the course; deviations announced to the class by the instructor may be necessary.

Readings with a “*” in front are optional

WEEK 1
August 15: No Class (Out of Town)

WEEK 2
August 22: Welcome and Introduction

The Edicts of Candler Hall


*http://fivethirtyeight.com/features/science-isnt-broken/ (an article on the scientific process that illustrates the dangers of doing it wrong and the great value of doing it right)

WEEK 3
August 29: The Scientific Study of Politics

KW, Chapter 1

KKV, Chapter 1 (thinking like a social scientist)

Putnam, Chapter 1 (an example of selecting and formulating a research agenda)

WEEK 4
September 5: Theory, Hypotheses, Concepts, and Variables

KW, reread Sections 1.2 and 1.3 of Chapter 1 and read Chapter 2


WEEK 5
September 12: Operationalization and Measurement

KW, Chapter 5, pgs. 92-114

Putnam, Chapter 3 (an example of operationalization and measurement)

*KKV, Chapter 5, pgs. 150-168 (a good discussion of how not to measure)


WEEK 6
September 19: Causality and Relationships between Variables

KW, Chapter 3

KKV, Chapter 3 (important rules for constructing causal theories)

*BC, Chapters 10-14 (start on page 201)


WEEK 7
September 26: Experimental and Observational Designs

KW, Chapter 4

Putnam, Chapter 4 (Putnam’s theory testing chapter)

WEEK 8
October 3: Sampling, Interviews, and Surveys

KW, Chapter 6

Franzen, Axel, and Dominikus Vogl. 2013. Acquiescence and the Willingness to Pay for Environmental Protection: A Comparison of the ISSP, WVS, and EVS. Social Science
Quarterly 94 (3):637-659. (an example of how the survey(s) you use can affect the answers you get)

Gerber, Alan S, and Donald P. Green. 2000. The Effects of Canvassing, Telephone Calls, and Direct Mail on Voter Turnout: A Field Experiment. American Political Science Review 94 (3):653-663. (an example of how your sampling technique can affect the answers you get)

WEEK 9
October 10: Bias

KKV, Chapter 4, pgs. 128-149 and Chapter 5, pgs. 168-182 (discusses bias in variable selection and omission).

Geddes, Barbara. 1990. How the Cases You Choose Affect the Answers You Get: Selection Bias in Comparative Politics. Political Analysis 2 (1):131-150. (illustrates the perils of selecting on the dependent variable)


WEEK 10
October 17: Exam

Hand in one-page research design summaries

WEEK 11
October 24: Rethinking Social Inquiry

Sign up for research design presentation days; assign discussants

BC, Chapters 1-8

Wuffle, A. 2015. Uncle Wuffle’s Reflections on Political Science Methodology. PS: Political Science & Politics 48 (1): 176-82. (a somewhat humorous list of comments on many of the debates in political science methodology)

WEEK 12
October 31: Doing Your Own Research and Journal Submissions

Form groups for next week’s class.

KW, Chapter 12

Zigerell, L. J. 2013. Rookie Mistakes: Preemptive Comments on Graduate Student Empirical Research Manuscripts. PS: Political Science & Politics 46 (1):142-146. (advice on basic

**WEEK 13**

**November 7: Dissecting and Critiquing Published Work**

In today’s class your group should come prepared to dissect and critique a published scholarly journal article. You pick the article. It must be in political science, and the author(s) cannot be anyone currently employed by UGA (unless you get his/her/their permission). You should do the following:

- Summarize the argument and findings of the article.
- Describe its sampling technique, data, measurement, variables, etc.
- Tell the class, based on everything we’ve learned to this point, what is wrong with this article? Be harsh.
- Tell the class, based on everything we’ve learned to this point, what is right with this article?

**WEEK 14**

**November 14: Presentation and Discussion of Research Designs**

**WEEK 15**

**November 21: No Class (Thanksgiving)**

**WEEK 16**

**November 28: Presentation and Discussion of Research Designs (Last Day of Class)**

**FINALS WEEK**

December 12: Research design papers due in my mailbox, under my door, or directly to me before 5:00PM—not by email.