Description:

This course is a one semester survey of public policies related to individual risky behaviors, and the analysis of the impact of those policies on health and economic outcomes. The class introduces students to the theoretical and quantitative techniques of policy evaluation, focusing primarily on economic models of policy evaluation. The course is intended to enable students to be critical users of policy analyses and to understand the role of such analysis in social policy development. This course will provide an introduction to the field of economic analysis for those students who are planning to continue on in the area of social policy evaluation and development.

Part of the programmatic goals for University of Georgia Public Administration and Policy students are that they understand the public policy process at the federal, state and local levels, including formulating, implementing and evaluating public policy. Students should develop the ability to interpret and persuasively communicate information regarding policy alternatives through written materials.

Secondly, the Public Administration and Policy program at UGA teaches students to utilize various methods and analytical tools to provide effective reasoning for decision-making and policy creation. Students should learn to effectively inform the public and other stakeholders of decisions and initiatives through the presentation of data and research finding. Furthermore, they should learn to produce policy papers involving the synthesis of information, evaluation, and analysis of critical questions or problems currently facing the field of public administration and policy.

Ultimately, this course seeks to lead students to develop these skills by applying the economic method of thought - assuming rational agents respond predictably to incentives in order to allocate the scarce resources at their disposal as seems "best" to them - and how this method can be a widely useful tool for assessing the need for, and likely impact of, public policy. Students in the course will practice the several skills outlined above via class discussion, out-of-class readings, communication of policy findings, and writing an independent paper.

Course Objectives

1. Survey a wide range of public policies aimed at regulating or mitigating behaviors that increase the risk of adverse health outcomes, high social costs, or death;

2. Examine how policy analysis is used to inform public decisions and policy development; examine the steps involved in the policy analysis process, including problem definition, determination of evaluation criteria, identification of policy alternatives, and policy evaluation;
3. Consider the political context and the challenges to the rational model of policy analysis when the underlying behaviors being regulated are risky (and arguably not fully rational);

4. Examine how data are used by social policy analysts to verify social problems and delineate policy problems as well as the challenges to unambiguous, objective empirical analysis;

5. Consider how criteria for policy analysis (equity, equality, adequacy, security, liberty, efficiency, social justice, democracy as well as technical, administrative, and political feasibility) are selected and applied, and consider the ethical and political issues involved in criteria selection;

6. Examine the different methods for valuing policy effects and the process by which the policy to be implemented is selected, including cost-benefit analysis and cost-effectiveness analysis, as well as evaluation of the distribution of policy effects among population sub-groups.

Educational Outcomes
By the end of the semester, students will be expected to demonstrate:

1. a thorough understanding of the policy analysis process;

2. an understanding of the costs that specific risky behaviors can impose on individuals and society and the role that public policies make in addressing those problems;

3. a thorough understanding of the limits of rational policy analysis to influence the decision-making process;

4. the ability to appreciate the use of secondary data sources and empirical evidence to substantiate policy arguments and to understand the limitations of data and empirical analysis;

5. the ability to apply multiple criteria (e.g., efficiency, equity, equality, security, liberty, or social justice and democracy) in evaluating social policies;

6. an understanding of how policy alternatives are identified and evaluated;

7. the ability to critically analyze policies and programs in terms of their distributional impacts, with particular attention to the impact on vulnerable groups.

Text for Course: There is no assigned text for the course. Rather class discussion will be motivated by a set of readings.

Organization of the Course: Class meetings will be lecture and student-led discussion. Class participation will be a very important part of the grading; I will call on individual students throughout the class to facilitate discussion (with names selected randomly before class starts). It is imperative that students conduct their own surveys of the day’s topic and read the assigned materials before class! Class attendance is required.
Grading: There are four components of the final grade:

1. Social media outreach: tweets sent (20%)
2. Mid-term exam (20%)
3. Participation in classroom discussion (20%)
4. Research Paper and presentation (20%)
5. Final (non-cumulative) exam (20%)

Social media outreach: Learning to communicate the facts of social problems and the evidence base for policy solutions is a crucial role for policy makers, bureaucrats, researchers, and (increasingly) informed citizens. Social media outlets, such as Twitter, are powerful ways to communicate directly to the public; learning to use these tools responsibly is commensurately important. Consequently, students in the class will be required to participate in public education using Twitter. First, at the beginning of each week, students must do their own research about the policy topic of the week (e.g., medical marijuana) from reliable sources of information and post a tweet that provides (at least) one factual piece of information about the topic before class on Tuesday. Second, by the end of the day on Friday each week, students must tweet about how effective some policy intervention is, based upon evidence discussed in class (or similar evidence that the student finds on his/her own). All tweets must include the hashtag: #pdap4200. The social media component of the class grade will be assigned as the proportion of required posts submitted. I will review all posts, and will not give credit for posts that are not on-topic. Students should keep screen shots / email confirmations of all tweets made and provide me with PDF printouts of all relevant posts on the first day of each month, and after the last day of class. Also, students are encouraged to share their Twitter handle with me so that I can follow them and see the posts for myself. My twitter handle is bradfowd1.

Mid-term exam will be an in-class exam, on Thursday, March 2

Final Exam will be during the final exam period (day, time and location TBD).

Research Paper: A research paper, of at least 10 pages in length (double spaced, 12 point font, one inch margins, not counting cover page, graphs or references) summarizing the literature and available data on some specific risky behavior and policy response is due by 5PM on Friday, April 28. Any paper handed in after that date will receive a one-letter grade (10 point) reduction in grade. Each student will give a 5-minute (maximum!) presentation of their research topic and findings to the class. Grades will be assigned based on the quality of the analysis, comprehensiveness of the literature and data review, grammar, and quality of the in-class presentation.

Late policy: Tweets or Reddit posts about the nature of each week’s social problem must be made prior to the beginning of each Tuesday class; tweets or Reddit posts about the findings from policy evaluations must be made by 5PM on Friday each week. Any research paper handed in after the due date and time (below) will receive a one-letter grade (10 point) reduction in grade. If you miss an exam without a university-approved excuse, you will receive a zero. If you have a university-approved absence, then the remaining exam will count double in the final weighting. No make-up exam will be given.

Academic Integrity: All students are responsible for maintaining the highest standards of honesty and integrity in every phase of their academic careers. The penalties for academic dishonesty are severe and ignorance is not an acceptable defense.
Course Outline and Schedule

NOTE: The following schedule is a general plan for the course; changes may be required as the semester progresses to accommodate speed of learning or student interest. Changes will be announced in advance by the instructor.

01/05/17 Syllabus and Overview

01/10 – 01/12 Overview of the Economics of Risky Behaviors and Reading Regressions


01/17 – 01/19 Medical Marijuana Policy


**01/24 – 01/26 Recreational Marijuana Policy**


**01/31 – 02/02 Prescription Opioid Abuse Prevention Policies**


02/07 – 02/09  Illicit Drug Use Prevention Policies


02/14 – 02/16  Addiction Treatment Policies (in London)


02/21 – 02/23  Cost Benefit Analysis and Value of a Statistical Life


02/28 – 03/02  Review and Test 1

03/7 – 03/09  SPRING BREAK
03/14 – 03/16 Vaccination Policy


03/21 – 03/23 Obesity Policy


**03/28 – 03/30 Alcohol Policy**


**04/04 – 04/06 Teenage Pregnancy and Contraceptive Policy**


Why is the Teen Birth Rate in the United States So High and Why Does it Matter - Kearney and Levine (2012)
04/11 – 04/13 *Tobacco Policy (UNH on Thursday)*


04/18 – 04/20 *Student Presentations*

04/25 *Student Presentations*