

# **MAY'S THEOREM**

Partial Notes

# Four Democratic Principles

## 1. Decisiveness

- The voting rule must specify a unique decision (even if the decision is indifference) for any set of individual preferences.

## 2. Anonymity

- A voting rule must treat all *voters* alike, in the sense that if any two voters traded ballots, the outcome of the election would remain the same.
- Ex: if Abdullah Abdullah won with Asa voting for him and Ara voting against, then Abdullah Abdullah should win if Ara voted for him and Asa voted against him.

## 3. Neutrality

- A voting rule must treat all *candidates* alike, rather than favor one over the other.
- Ex: if the names Abdullah and Karzai are switched on the ballot but the votes remain the same, then the result should be the same (but favor of the other candidate).

## 4. Positive Responsiveness (monotonicity)

- if the group decision is indifference or favorable to  $x$ , and if individual preferences remain the same except that a single individual changes his/her vote in favor of  $x$ , then the group decision should be  $x$  (rather than  $y$  or remain indifferent).
- Ex: If Abdullah wins or ties, then he should win if he gains votes without losing votes.

# May's Theorem

- **Theorem: assume a two candidate election with an odd number of voters. Majority rule adheres to these four conditions. Furthermore, these four conditions imply majority rule.**

## Proof:

Let the majority rule decision function  $D$  be represented by the sum of  $N(1)$ ,  $N(0)$ , and  $N(-1)$ , where

$N(1)$  is the number of votes for  $x$ ,

$N(-1)$  is the number of votes for  $y$ , and

$N(0)$  is the number of indifferent voters.

Under simple majority rule (MR)

If  $D=0$ , then the social decision is indifference.

If  $D>0$ , then the social decision is  $+1$  (for  $x$ ).

If  $D<0$ , then the social decision is  $-1$  (for  $y$ ).

MR is **decisive** because it always produces an outcome of  $+1$ ,  $0$  or  $-1$ .

MR adheres to **anonymity** because swapping a  $+1$  and  $-1$  among two voters does not affect the sum. Hence the result is unchanged.

# May's Theorem

## Proof:

That these four conditions imply MR is a little harder to see...

Suppose  $N(-1)=N(1)$ , then it follows from the first three conditions that  $D=0$ . Here's why...

Consider the following seven voters:

(A, A, A, 0, K, K, K).

If A were to win, then swapping all the As and Ks would either produce the reverse result:

$f(K, K, K, 0, A, A, A) \rightarrow K$

which violates anonymity because who votes for A and K would determine the result.

Or it would produce the same result:

$f(K, K, K, 0, A, A, A) \rightarrow A$

which violates neutrality because A is favored despite  $N(K)=N(A)$ .

If K were to win, then we have the same problem in reverse.

Hence,  $N(-1)=N(1)$  must imply  $D=0$ .

# May's Theorem

Proof:

Furthermore, if  $N(1)=N(-1)+1$

i.e., (A, A, A, 0, 0, K, K).

then the social decision function must favor A according to positive responsiveness.

Also if  $N(1) = N(-1) + (m-1)$  for any  $1 < m < N(-1)+1$ ,

i.e., (A, A, A, 0, A, K, K),

(A, A, A, 0, A, 0, K)....

(A, A, A, A, A, A, A)

then in all these cases the social decision function must favor A according to positive responsiveness.

The voting rule that asserts indifference when  $N(1)=N(-1)$  and favors 1 in all the cases mentioned above is simple majority rule.