INTRODUCTION TO GAME THEORY

A. Tic-Tac-Toe (loser pays winner \$5).

1. Are there good and bad moves in tic-tac-toe?



- a. yes, at least some times.
- b. <u>def</u>: <u>action</u> something a player can choose at a particular point in the game.

- 2. Is there an optimal strategy to play?
 - a. <u>Def</u>: strategy a complete set of actions for a player in a game.
 - b. Yes, bad moves (or actions) imply bad strategies.
- 3. If two people play optimal strategies, what's the outcome?
 - a. A tie. ...optimal does not mean win.
- 4. Should we expect two people to play to a tie?
 - a. _?_.
 - b. <u>Def</u>: preference an individual's liking of one outcome compared to another (usually expressed in terms of payoffs).
 - c. <u>Def</u>: rationality an individual is rational if they chose the strategy that gets them their most preferred outcome (or more preferred outcome, if "most" preferred is not attainable).
 - a. Rationality only means something with respect to an individual's pre-specified valuation of the outcomes.

<u>Aside</u>:

The probability of an event A, expressed as P(A), has the following properties:

- $1. \qquad 0 \le P(A) \le 1.$
- 2. $P(A) = 1 P(\sim A)$.
- $3. \qquad P(\emptyset) = 0.$
- 4. For mutual exclusive and exhaustive events $A_1, A_2, \dots A_n$: $\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(A_i) = 1$

- B. One Player Games (games against nature)
 - 1. Bill Clinton Decides to Resign over Monica Lewinski.



- B. One Player Games (games against nature)
 - 1. Bill Clinton Decides to Resign over Monica Lewinski.



- B. One Player Games (games against nature)
 - 2. What if we didn't know the probabilities?



Sensitivty Analysis: Calculate Expected ValueResign-200,000Not Resignp(-400,000) + (1-p)0

Resign if and only if -200,000 > p(-400,000) $\frac{1}{2}$ < p

C. Multiple Player Games

- 1. Three types
 - 1. Zero sum games your gains are my losses.
 - 2. Cooperative games games where agreements are binding (skip).
 - 3. Non-cooperative games games where coordination of strategy must be done through play alone.
 - a) Zero sum games are a special type of non-cooperative game.
- 2. Ex: Clinton decides whether to resign, Congress responds.



2. Ex: Clinton decides whether to resign, Congress responds.



More definitions

- a. Branches the actions that can be chosen at each decision node (i.e. the lines).
- b. Decision node a point of decision for an actor.



- c. Chance node a point where mother nature moves (i.e. a probabilistic event occurs).
- d. Payoff a player's valuation for an outcome (also known as utility).

EXTENSIVE FORM (SEQUENTIAL MOVE) GAMES

A. Backward Induction

- 1. Start at the back of the game, determine what is rational at each node, then work forward.
- 2. subgame perfect equilibrium (SPE) the expected outcome of the game, determined by backward induction.







What happens if we attempt forward induction?

Player 2 might play r at 2.1 because he thinks he could get 100.



<u>What happens if we</u> <u>attempt forward</u> <u>induction?</u> Player 2 might play r at 2.1 because he

- thinks he could get 100.
- 00) But if he anticipates 1's move, he will know that 1 will never play y at 1.2.



What happens if we attempt forward induction?

Player 2 might play r at 2.1 because he thinks he could get 100. But if he anticipates 1's move, he will know that 1 will never play y at 1.2. **Backward** induction means everyone anticipates the next move and avoids such problems.









Note: you must write the full strategy for each player ... (d,x) for player 1, even though we never get to x.

Drawing the arrows can be sufficient for showing your work.













SPE = ?



4. Another Example



 $SPE = \{(t,x);(I.m)\}$

Notice: If player 1, wasn't going to play x at 1.2 (he plays y instead), player 2 would play r at 2.1, and player 1 would play t at 1.1. That's why we have to write down player 1's commitment to x in the equilibrium. If 1 wasn't committed to x, we would get a different outcome.

B. The Transition to Democracy

1. Story – think Tiananmen Square in China.

	<u>Preferences</u>	
	<u>Govt</u>	<u>Masses</u>
BD– broad dictatorship (greater liberties, freer markets)	1 st	1 st
SD– strong dictatorship (remaining hardline)	2 nd	2 nd
ND- narrow dictatorship (repression, martial law, curfews)	3 rd	3 rd

The interesting part of this story is both the reformist government and the masses prefer BD to SD, but they won't get their mutually desired outcome.













2. Other payoffs would lead to different outcomes

	<u>Govt</u>	<u>Masses</u>
	BD	transition
	SD	BD
	transition	insurrection
	ND	SD
	insurrection	ND

2. Other payoffs would lead to different outcomes

<u>Govt</u>	<u>Masses</u>
BD	transition
transition	BD
SD	insurrection
ND	SD
insurrection	ND



